Gross-Neveu model with Borici-Creutz fermion

J. Goswami[1], D. Chakrabarti[1], S. Basak[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India*

[2]*School of Physical Sciences, NISER, Bhubaneswar, India.*

(Dated: January 19, 2015)

arXiv:1409.7999v2 [hep-lat] 16 Jan 2015

Abstract

We investigate the chiral phase structure of the Gross-Neveu model on a 2-D lattice using the Borici-Creutz fermion action. We present a strong coupling analysis of the Gross-Neveu model and perform a hybrid Monte Carlo simulation of the model with Borici-Creutz fermions. Both analytic and lattice results show a second-order chiral phase transition.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 11.10.Kk, 11.15.Me, 11.30.Rd

I. INTRODUCTION

The simulation of light fermions on a lattice is always a challenging task. There are several prescriptions to circumvent or minimize the doubling problem without spoiling the chiral symmetry on the lattice. By the no-go theorem, the minimum number of species one can have on a lattice with chiral symmetry is two, that is what is known as a "minimally doubled" fermion. One such formulation is given by Karsten[1] and Wilczek[2]. Motivated by the fact that electrons on a graphene lattice are described by a massless Dirac-like equation (quasi-relativistic Dirac equation), Creutz[3] proposed a four dimensional Euclidean lattice action describing two flavors of fermion, each centered at $\pm p_\mu$ in the momentum space. The action was defined on a honeycomb or graphene lattice with tunable parameters to control the magnitude of p_μ. Borici[4] immediately found a solution for the parameters such that the two flavors are located at the origin and at $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. The chirally- invariant Borici-Creutz (BC) action breaks hypercubic and discrete symmetries such as parity and time-reversal and thus allows non-covriant counter terms through quantum corrections [5]. Later on, Creutz proposed a refinement of the BC action so that such effects can be mitigated to the point where they are manageable[6]. Both the Karsten-Wilczek and Borici-Creutz actions break hypercubic and discrete symmetries, but to decide which one is better than the other requires detailed studies of the two fermion formulations. The renormalization properties of the BC fermion at one loop in the perturbation theory have been investigated in Ref.[7]. It was shown that, in the presence of a gauge background with integer-valued topological charge, BC action satisfies the Atiya-Singer index theorem[8]. But there is not enough numerical study in the literature to suggest that the BC action is really better than the other lattice actions or useful for QCD simulation.

In this work, we consider the Gross-Neveu model on a space-time square lattice with BC fermion. Chiral and parity-broken(Aoki) phase structures of the Gross-Neveu model have been studied for Wilson and Karsten-Wilczek fermions [9, 10]. A lattice simulation of the Gross-Neveu model using the Wilson fermion was done by Korzec et al[11], where the recovery of chirally invariant Gross-Neveu model from a lattice model was studied. The semimetal-insulator phase transition on a graphene lattice with Thirring type four fermion interactions has been studied by Hands and collaborators[12] and the strong coupling analysis of the tight-binding graphene model with Kekule distortion term has been done by

Araki[13]. No numerical study of the phase structure with the Borici-Creutz fermion has been done yet. In this paper, we investigate the chiral phase structure of the Gross-Neveu model on the orthogonal lattice in the strong coupling analysis and show that numerical results from the Gross-Neveu model with BC fermion are in agreement with the analytic predictions. For the lattice simulation, we use the hybrid Monte Carlo(HMC) method which was shown to be a better choice over Kramers Equation Monte Carlo(KMC) for Gross-Neveu model[14].

II. STRONG COUPLING ANALYSIS OF THE BORICI-CREUTZ FERMIONS

A strong coupling analysis of the Gross-Neveu model has been done by Misumi and collaborators[9, 10] for Karsten-Wilczek minimally doubled fermions. Here we follow a similar procedure to find the chiral phase structure for the BC action. The free Borici-Creutz action in 4D is written as,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BC} \;=\; & \sum_n [\frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n \gamma_\mu (\psi_{n+\mu} - \psi_{n-\mu}) - \frac{ir}{2}\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n (\Gamma - \gamma_\mu)(2\psi_n - \psi_{n+\mu} - \psi_{n-\mu}) \\ & + ic_3 \bar{\psi}_n \Gamma \psi_n + m\bar{\psi}_n \psi_n] \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where, $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4)$ and $\{\Gamma, \gamma_\mu\} = 1$. We write this action by using the hopping and on-site operators as,

$$S_{BC} = \sum_n [\sum_\mu (\bar{\psi}_n P_\mu^+ \psi_{n+\mu} - \bar{\psi}_n P_\mu^- \psi_{n-\mu}) + \bar{\psi}_n \hat{M} \psi_n], \tag{2}$$

where, the hopping operators are defined as $P_\mu^+ = \frac{\gamma_\mu}{2}(1 - ir) + \frac{ir\Gamma}{2}$ and $P_\mu^- = \frac{\gamma_\mu}{2}(1 + ir) - \frac{ir\Gamma}{2}$ and the onsite operator $\hat{M} = m + i(c_3 - 2r)\Gamma$. In the strong coupling limit the effective action can be written as [10]

$$S_{eff} = N_c \sum_n [\sum_\mu \mathrm{T}r(M(n)(P_\mu^+)^T M(n + \hat{\mu})(P_\mu^-)^T) + \mathrm{T}r(\hat{M} M(n)) - \mathrm{T}r(\log M(n))] \tag{3}$$

where $M(n) = \bar{\psi}(n)\psi(n)/N_c$ and the trace is over spinor indices. The above effective action is obtained by performing the one-link integration of the gauge fields and keeping only the leading term. As the Borici-Creutz action specifies the Γ direction as a special(diagonal) direction, we expect a vector condensate π_Γ along with a chiral condensate σ. So, the condensate which is the vacuum expectation value of $M(n)$, has both chiral and vector

condensates as components,

$$\langle M(n)\rangle = M_0 = \sigma I_4 + i\Gamma\pi_\Gamma. \tag{4}$$

After putting this into Eq. (3) we get the effective action as,

$$S_{eff} = N_c[4\sigma^2(1+r^2) + 2{\pi_\Gamma}^2(1+r^2) + 4m\sigma - 4(c_3-2r)\pi_\Gamma - 2\log(\sigma^2+{\pi_\Gamma}^2)] \tag{5}$$

The saddle point solutions are obtained from the equations

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta\sigma} = 0;\ \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta\pi_\Gamma} = 0. \tag{6}$$

From the above two equations, we get the gap equations as

$$2\sigma(1+r^2) + m - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2+{\pi_\Gamma}^2} = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\pi_\Gamma(1+r^2) - (c_3-2r) - \frac{\pi_\Gamma}{\sigma^2+{\pi_\Gamma}^2} = 0. \tag{8}$$

These equations can be solved analytically only for $m = 0$. In the limit $\sigma \to 0$, we get the chiral boundaries for massless Borici-Creutz fermions at,

$$c_3 - 2r = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1+r^2}{2}}. \tag{9}$$

For $r = 1$ the chiral boundaries are at $\bar{c_3} = c_3 - 2 = \pm 1$. We get two solutions for the condensates for $m = 0$ and $r = 1$ as

$$\sigma = 0, \pi_\Gamma = \frac{1}{4}\Big(\bar{c_3} \pm \sqrt{8+{\bar{c_3}}^2}\ \Big); \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{1-{\bar{c_3}}^2}}{2}, \pi_\Gamma = -\frac{\bar{c_3}}{2}. \tag{11}$$

We see that σ is only nonzero between $\bar{c_3} = \pm 1$ in the massless limit. Since for $\sigma = 0$, we know from Eq.(7) that $\pi_\Gamma = \pm 1/2$, for $\bar{c_3} = -1$ we need to take the positive sign before the square root in the solution of π_Γ in Eq. (10), while for $\bar{c_3} = +1$, the negative sign should be taken. In Fig.(1), we have plotted the chiral condensate σ as a function of the parameter $\bar{c_3}$ up to zero as there is a discontinuity of the Dirac operator at $\bar{c_3} = 0$ (there is no zero of the Dirac operator at the exact value of $\bar{c}_3 = 0$). We can clearly see the second order phase transition in agreement with Ref. [10].



FIG. 1: $\bar{c_3}$ vs σ for Borici-Creutz fermions when $m = 0$ and $r = 1$.

III. PHASE TRANSITION FOR THE GROSS-NEVEU MODEL WITH BORICI-CREUTZ FERMIONS

The Borici-Creutz action has already been defined in Eq.(1). In 2D, $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$ and $\{\Gamma, \gamma_\mu\} = 1$, and $\Gamma^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and we use 2-dimensional representation for the gamma matrices. With $r = 1$, the action describes minimally doubled fermions for $-2 < c_3 < 2$. In 2D,

$$\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n(\Gamma - \gamma_\mu)\psi_n = 0$$

whereas in 4D, it produces $2\bar{\psi}_n\Gamma\psi_n$. We keep a similar term in 2D by replacing c_3 by $(c_3 - 2)$ in the action.

Before proceeding further with the GN model let us first discuss about the number of flavors of free theory with respect to the parameter c_3 in 2D, flavor structure of the BC action in 4D has been studied in detail by Kimura *et.al.*[15]. The free Dirac operator in momentum space is written as,

$$D_{BC}(p) = \sum_\mu [i\gamma_\mu \sin p_\mu + i(\Gamma - \gamma_\mu)cos(p_\mu)] + i(c_3 - 2)\Gamma. \tag{12}$$

Now at $c_3 = 0$ and $c_3 = 4$ the Dirac operator has only one zero with an unphysical dispersion relation, $D_{BC}(p) \sim i\gamma_\mu {p_\mu}^2$; hence the exact values of $c_3 = 0, 4$ are not allowed. For $0 < c_3 < 0.59$ and $3.41 < c_3 < 4$, the Dirac operator has two zeros with a physical dispersion relation. These are the two regions with minimally doubled fermion. In the rest of the region i.e for $0.59 < c_3 < 3.41$, the Dirac operator has four zeros. Out of those four zeros,

the correct continuum limit of the Dirac operator is obtained only when $p_1 = p_2$ which is satisfied by two zeros while the other two do not give the correct continuum limit i.e the dispersion relations become unphysical. For example, for $c_3 = 2$, we get four zeros of the Dirac operator at $(0,0), (\pi,\pi), (-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}), (\frac{\pi}{4}, -\frac{3\pi}{4})$, out of this only the first two zeros give physical flavors and the last two zeros give unphysical dispersion relations. The continuum limit of the Dirac operator at $(\frac{\pi}{4}, -\frac{3\pi}{4})$, looks like $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[i\gamma_1(3p_1 + p_2) + i\gamma_2(p_2 - p_1)]$, so the dispersion relation becomes unphysical for this case. So, to study the Gross-Neveu model with a minimally doubled fermion, we need to choose a value of c_3 within the allowed regions i.e., $0 < c_3 < 0.59$ or $3.41 < c_3 < 4$.

A. Gross Neveu model in 2 dimensions

The action after including the four fermion interaction (with $r = 1$) is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BC} \;=\; & \sum_n [\frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n \gamma_\mu (\psi_{n+\mu} - \psi_{n-\mu}) - \frac{i}{2}\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n (\Gamma - \gamma_\mu)(2\psi_n - \psi_{n+\mu} - \psi_{n-\mu}) \\ & + i(c_3 - 2)\bar{\psi}_n \Gamma \psi_n + m\bar{\psi}_n \psi_n - \frac{g^2}{2N}[(\bar{\psi}_n \psi_n)^2 + (\bar{\psi}_n i\Gamma \psi_n)^2], \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where, g is the coupling constant which we consider the same for both four point (scalar and vector) interactions. To linearize the four-fermion interactions, we introduce two real auxiliary fields σ and π_Γ:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(n) \;&=\; m - \frac{g^2}{N}(\bar{\psi}_n \psi_n) \\ \pi_\Gamma(n) \;&=\; c_3 - 2 - \frac{g^2}{N}(\bar{\psi}_n i\Gamma \psi_n). \end{aligned}$$

The action with the auxiliary fields becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BC} \;=\; & \sum_n [\frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n \gamma_\mu (\psi_{n+\mu} - \psi_{n-\mu}) + \frac{i}{2}\sum_\mu \bar{\psi}_n (\Gamma - \gamma_\mu)(\psi_{n+\mu} + \psi_{n-\mu}) \\ & + \frac{N}{2g^2}[(\sigma(n) - m)^2 + (\pi_\Gamma(n) - c_3 + 2)^2] \\ & + \bar{\psi}_n [\sigma(n) + i\Gamma \pi_\Gamma(n)]]\psi_n. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

When the auxiliary fields are integrated out, we get back the original action given by Eq.(13).

To find the gap equations and chiral boundaries (i.e., the boundary between the chirally symmetric ($\sigma = 0$) and broken ($\sigma \neq 0$) regions), we proceed as before i.e., first we find the



FIG. 2: Chiral boundaries in the parametric space i.e. c_3 vs g^2 for BC fermions

S_{eff} by integrating out the fermionic fields from this equation and then use the saddle point equations $\frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta \sigma} = 0$ and $\frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta \pi_\Gamma} = 0$. The effective action after taking out the volume factor V can be written as $S_{eff} = V\bar{S}_{eff}$, where

$$\bar{S}_{eff} = \frac{1}{2g^2}[(\sigma - m)^2 + (\pi_\Gamma - c_3 + 2)^2] - \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \log\left[\sigma^2 + \frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C + D) + C^2 + D^2\right] \quad (15)$$

Then the gap equations are obtained as

$$\frac{(\sigma - m)}{g^2} = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C + D) + C^2 + D^2)} , \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{(\pi_\Gamma - c_3 + 2)}{g^2} = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\pi_\Gamma + (C + D)}{(\sigma^2 + \frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C + D) + C^2 + D^2)} ; \quad (17)$$

where

$$C = \sin(k_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\cos(k_1) - \cos(k_2)),$$
$$D = \sin(k_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\cos(k_2) - \cos(k_1)).$$

For the analytic calculation of the phase structure in the parameter space we need to take $m = 0$. Here the order parameter for the phase transition is σ and its value is zero or non-zero depending on the values of c_3 and g^2. To get the chiral boundary we take $\sigma \to 0$

and get the saddle-point equations for the chiral boundaries as

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{2}{(\frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C+D) + C^2 + D^2)}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{(\pi_\Gamma - (c_3)_c + 2)}{g^2} = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\pi_\Gamma + (C+D)}{(\frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C+D) + C^2 + D^2)}, \tag{19}$$

where $(c_3)_c$ is the value of c_3 at the chiral boundaries. Using these equations we plot the phase diagram in Fig(2). In this plot, A stands for the chiral symmetric phase and B stands for the chiral broken phase. The chiral critical lines are at two values of $c_3 = 0, 4$ for zero coupling($g^2 = 0$) and these are the boundaries of two minimally doubled regions. In the weak coupling limit, the regions outside this (i.e., for $c_3 < 0,\ c_3 > 4$) describe a fermionless theory as we cannot find any zero of the Dirac operator and hence cannot cause any spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking[10]. Thus for low couplings, the chiral critical line is actually the boundary between the two flavour and non-flavour phases. However for the values close to $c_3 = 0, 4$ and within minimally doubled regions, we find a symmetric phase at low coupling and as the coupling grows the symmetry gets broken. Now to show that this is a second order phase transition we show that the mass of σ is zero on the critical line. The analytic value of m_σ on the critical line

$$\begin{aligned} m_\sigma^2 &\propto V \frac{\delta^2 \bar{S}_{eff}}{\delta\sigma^2}\bigg|_{(c_3)_c} \\ &= V[\frac{1}{g^2} - \int \frac{2}{(\sigma^2 + \frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C+D) + C^2 + D^2)} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \\ &- \int \frac{4\sigma^2}{(\sigma^2 + \frac{\pi_\Gamma^2}{2} + \pi_\Gamma(C+D) + C^2 + D^2)} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}]\bigg|_{(c_3)_c} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The last step followed from Eq.(18) and with $\sigma = 0$. The result implies that the chiral phase transition in the the Gross-Neveu model is indeed a second order phase transition, as was shown in Ref. [10] for the Karsten-Wilczek fermion.

IV. LATTICE SIMULATION OF THE GROSS-NEVEU MODEL

Though there are strong coupling analyses in the literature, until now, the Gross-Neveu model with BC fermion has not been studied numerically on a lattice. For the lattice

simulation of the Gross-Neveu model with BC fermion to study the chiral phase transition, we consider $c_3 = 0 + \epsilon$(as at $g \approx 0$, the exact value of $c_3 = 0$ does not describe minimally doubled fermion). We take a very small ϵ ($\epsilon = 10^{-5}$), so that we are in a physical two flavor region as well as close enough to the critical line to study the phase transition.

The lattice version of the action is given in Eq.(13). Here, we rewrite the action explicitly in terms of the auxiliary fields and for the chosen parameter $c_3 = 0 + \epsilon$ as

$$S = \bar{\psi}_i M_{ij} \psi_j + \frac{N}{2g^2}(\sigma^2 + \pi_\Gamma^2), \tag{21}$$

where the auxiliary fields

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= -\frac{g^2}{N}(\bar{\psi}\psi), \\ \pi_\Gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{N}(\bar{\psi} i\Gamma \psi) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

are defined in the dual lattice sites $\tilde{x}$ surrounding the direct lattice site x [16] and

$$M_{ij} = D_{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\langle x,\tilde{x}\rangle}(\sigma + i\pi_\Gamma \Gamma), \tag{23}$$

where D_{ij} is the BC Dirac operator:

$$D_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\mu(\delta_{j,i+\mu} - \delta_{j,i-\mu}) + \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma - \gamma_\mu)(\delta_{j,i+\mu} + \delta_{j,i-\mu}) - ((2-\epsilon)i\Gamma - m)\delta_{i,j}. \tag{24}$$

Because of species doubling, two dimensional N flavors of fermions correspond to $N_f = 2N$ continuum flavors. Since M is a complex matrix, we work with $(M^\dagger M)$ to make it real and positive definite and integrate out the fermion fields by the pseudofermion method. Since the Borici-Creutz action describes two flavors, the number of flavors becomes double i.e., $N_f = 4$ for an action with $(M^\dagger M)$. With pseudofermions the action becomes

$$S = \phi^\dagger (M^\dagger M)^{-1}\phi + \frac{1}{g^2}(\sigma^2 + \pi_\Gamma^2). \tag{25}$$

We simulate our model by the HMC method and evaluate the order parameter for the chiral phase transition $\langle\sigma\rangle$ as a function of coupling constant. We use a single noise vector to estimate the condensate.

$$\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle = -\langle Tr M^{-1}\rangle \tag{26}$$

$$\langle\sigma\rangle = -\beta\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle \tag{27}$$

$$\text{where, } \beta = \frac{1}{g^2}.$$



FIG. 3: $\langle\sigma\rangle$ vs β of $m = 0.01, 0.02$ & 0.03 for Gross-Neveu model with BC fermions in a 32×32 lattice.

The configurations are generated by considering stepsize($\triangle t$)=0.1 in the leapfrog method and ten steps per trajectory in the molecular dynamics chain. We do not use any preconditioning during the simulation. The first 500 ensembles are rejected for thermalization and data are collected from the next 16000 ensembles by varying the β. For stability of the code, we cannot take exact massless fermion. So we expect that the lattice results for light fermions might have slight differences from the analytic results for $m = 0$ presented in the previous section. Here we consider only (very) light fermions so that the chiral symmetry of the action is still approximately valid and deviation from $m = 0$ results is minimal. For very low mass, the time for a single update increases rapidly with increasing β and the number of conjugate gradient iterations per update is around 2000 to 5000 for each case. The order parameter $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is plotted against β for three masses on a 32×32 lattice in Fig.3. The plot indicates that a second order phase transition exists. The numerical results on the lattice show that at low coupling(i.e.β large), the order parameter σ is very small and follows the



FIG. 4: Finite volume effects of $\langle\sigma\rangle$ vs β for $m = 0.03$ of three different lattice sizes 20×20, 32×32, and 40×40.

perturbative RG flow with the coupling constant. But as the coupling becomes stronger, σ grows to a nonzero value indicating a phase transition. From the analytic calculation we have the critical value of β as $\beta_c \approx 0.4$. In the lattice calculation, we have non-zero fermion mass, so the numerical results for the critical couplings for different masses are not the same as the analytical result, but as the masses are small, they are close to β_c. As $m \to 0$, one expects to reproduce the analytical value of β_c for the massless fermion. Though the numerical results are not conclusive about the order of the phase transition but note that the order parameter σ varies smoothly near the phase transition supporting the analytical prediction of a second order phase transition. For any study of critical behavior, the knowledge of finite size effects is very important. In Fig.4, we have shown the finite volume effects for $m = 0.03$ for three different lattice volumes of 20×20, 32×32 and 40×40. The plot shows that the finite volume effect is very small and negligible for the lattice volumes considered in this work.

In the analytic calculation, only two flavors were considered as required by minimally doubled lattice formulation (BC action), but numerically we simulate with four light flavors

as we double the number of flavors to have a real and positive definite action. Our numerical results are in good agreement with the analytic results and show that due to the four fermion interactions, at a high coupling limit the chiral symmetry is dynamically broken.

V. SUMMARY

In this work, we have studied the Gross-Neveu model with the minimally doubled fermion action proposed by Creutz and Borici. First we have studied the chiral phase structure of the GN model analytically which predicts a second order phase transition from the symmetric to broken chiral phase. Then we have studied the model with the HMC algorithm. Our numerical simulations also agree with the analytic analysis and the order parameter $\langle\sigma\rangle$ plotted against $\beta = 1/g^2$ shows a second order phase transition.

[1] L.H. Karsten, Phys. Lett. B **104**,315 (1981).

[2] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**,2397 (1987).

[3] M. Creutz, JHEP **0804**, 017(2008).

[4] A. Borici, Phys. rev. D. **78**, 074504 (2008).

[5] P. F. Bedaque, M. I. Buchoff, B.C. Tiburzi, A. Walker-Loud, Phys. Lett. B. **662**,449 (2008).

[6] M. Creutz, Pos LAT2008, 080 (2008).

[7] S. Capitani, M. Creutz, J. Weber, H. Wittig, JHEP **1009**, 027 (2010).

[8] D. Chakrabarti, S. J. Hands, A. Rago, JHEP **0906**, 060 (2009).

[9] M. Creutz, T. Kimura, T. Misumi, Phys. Rev. D.**83**, 094506 (2011).

[10] T. Misumi, JHEP **1208**, 068 (2012).

[11] T. Korzec, F. Knechtli, U. Wolff, B. Leder, PoS LAT2005, 267 (2006).

[12] S. J. Hands, C. Strouthos, Phys. Rev. B**78**, 165423 (2008), W. Armour, S. Hands, C. Strouthos, Phys. Rev. B**81**, 125105(2010).

[13] Y. Araki, PoS LAT2011, 054 (2011); Phys. Rev B **85**, 125436 (2012).

[14] S. Basak and A. K. De, Phys. lett. B **430**, 320 (1998).

[15] T. Kimura, S. Komatsu, T. Misumi, T. Noumi, S. Torii, S. Aoki, JHEP **1201**, 048 (2012).

[16] S. J. Hands, A. Kocić, J. B. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. B**390**, 355 (1993), Ann. Phys. **224**, 29 (1993).